

~~SECRET~~

2512

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

INSTRUCTIONS: Officer designations should be used in the "TO" column. Under each comment a line should be drawn across sheet and each comment numbered to correspond with the number in the "TO" column. Each officer should initial (check mark insufficient) before further routing. This Routing and Record Sheet should be returned to Registry.

FROM: I. D. Brent	NO.
	DATE

TO	ROOM NO	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS
		REC'D	FWD'D		
1. Robt Komer, ONE					<div>DOCUMENT NO. _____</div> <div>NO CHANGE IN CLASS. <input type="checkbox"/> L</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> DECLASSIFIED</div> <div>CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S C</div> <div>NEXT REVIEW DATE: _____</div> <div>AUTH: HR 70-2</div> <div>DATE: _____ REVIEWER: _____</div>
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

~~SECRET~~

SECURITY INFORMATION

~~SECRET~~

8 January 1952

MEMORANDUM TO: DAD/SI

FROM: Chief, W&E/SI

SUBJECT: Notification of SO Representative in Far East of
Need for Information for NIE-60 - Soviet Air
Defense Capabilities

1. This is in reply to your attached note requesting information on the status of the subject notification.

2. No notification has been given the SO representative in the Far East of the need for information for NIE-60 as a parallel action to G-2's query of FECOM Watch Committee.

3. Although General Weckerling agreed in the IAC meeting of 1 November 1951 to request information of the FECOM Watch Committee for this estimate, he delayed taking action until agreed terms of reference were available to forward the Theatre with his request.

4. Agreed terms of reference were provided the IAC representatives 4 December 1951. However, 6 December 1951 the G-2 representative advised Paul Borel, ONE, that General Weckerling had decided not to place any request upon the theater since during the month that had elapsed they now had all the information the field had. They might make some spot requests of the field, but they did not want to increase the work load on FECOM.

5. On 10 December 1951 Mr. Komer, ONE, and the undersigned informally briefed Sherman Kent on what had happened and advised him of the urgency of obtaining a contribution from FECOM because of the marked difference in estimates of capabilities received from that headquarters from those voiced by the Services. He agreed to take the matter up with General Smith for inclusion in the next IAC meeting.

6. On 4 January 1952, Sherman Kent advised the undersigned that he had discussed the problem with General Bolling during the "flaps" on SE-20, and the latter had agreed to have General Weckerling ask FECOM for the desired information. However, neither Sherman Kent or myself have seen any evidence such action was taken.

DOCUMENT NO. 1NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☒ X☐ DECLASSIFIED

CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S C

NEXT REVIEW DATE: 2011

AUTH: HR 70-2

DATE: 13 Mar. 81 REVIEWER: 006514~~SECRET~~

SECRET

- 2 -

7. So much time has already elapsed in trying to obtain G-2's cooperation in this matter that it is now planned to draft the estimate based on information available in the ZI. It is highly probable that if in coordinating this draft, CIA persistently raises the issue of the wide divergence between the FECOM Watch Committee estimate and Service estimates, the desired query for information to support the Theatre's estimate will ultimately be placed.

I. D. BRENT

cc: Robt. Komer, ONE

SECRET